Appl. No. 10/662,644 Atty. Docket No. 8170XR Amdt. Dated June 5, 2006 Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2006 Customer No. 27752

REMARKS AND INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Claims 1 - 20 are pending in the present application.

The examiner previously withdrew claims 18-20 from consideration.

Applicants canceled Claim 1-4 and 7-14 without prejudice.

Claims 15-17 where amended to depend from allowed Claim 5. Such claims are method claims wherein said a sub-group of the compound of formula I is recited. Applicants contend that support for such amendment is found, for example, at page 11, lines 12-25 of Applicants' specification wherein it is noted that the compositions of the present invention may be used to treat a substrate. Applicants contend that the compounds recited in amended claims 15-17 are found in original Claims 15-17 and on pages 12-13 of the specification.

Applicants note that according to MPEP § 2143.03 "If an independent claim is nonobvious under 35 U.S.C. 103, then any claim depending therefrom is nonobvious." See also, *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988). As Claim 15-17 are supported by the specification and depend directly or indirectly from allowed Claim 5, it follows that Claims 15-17 are allowable.

Appl. No. 10/662,644 Atty. Docket No. 8170XR Amdt. Dated June 5, 2006 Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2006 Customer No. 27752

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application, entry of the amendments presented herein, and allowance of Claims 5, 6 and 15-17.

Respectfully submitted,

Haught et al.

By_

James M. Mc Bride

Attorney for Applicant(s) Registration No. 43,784

(513) 627-0079

June 5, 2006 Customer No. 27752